IMPORTERS' MYTHS PREVENTING CONSUMERS FROM CHOOSING U.S.A. BEEF

<u>Background</u>: Both President Trump's and former President Biden's "Buy American" Executive Orders highlight the need to restore Mandatory Country of Origin Labeling (M-COOL) for beef. A bipartisan group of Senators have responded by reintroducing the "American Beef Labeling Act," (S. 421) that would reinstate M-COOL for beef, which will profoundly benefit America's consumers and America's cattle farmers and ranchers. Unfortunately, the importers and all their entrenched Washington, D.C., lobbyists and insiders continue spreading false information designed to derail S. 421.

Importers' Myths	M-COOL Truths
The U.S. cannot disregard	S. 421 directs the U.S. Trade Ambassador and the Secretary of Agriculture to develop a
the World Trade	WTO-compliant means of reinstating M-COOL for beef. It is premature to presume the
Organization's (WTO's)	two cabinet members cannot develop such a means before they have tried. It is also
ruling against M-COOL.	premature to expect any WTO ruling similar to the blatantly conflicted 2015 ruling
	because Ricardo Ramirez-Hernandez, a Mexican national and attorney in service to
	Mexico, will no longer be the Presiding Member of the Appellate Body that,
	unsurprising, ruled in favor of Mexico and Canada. Also, the U.S. did not seek a
	diplomatic resolution before repealing M-COOL as it did in other cases it lost at the
	WTO. But now, S. 421 directs two cabinet members to explore such a remedy.
If consumers wanted M-	This is the problem: Importers are voluntarily applying the "Product of USA" label, but
COOL, the marketplace	they are putting it on imported beef products that are merely unwrapped and rewrapped
would voluntarily apply	in the U.S. and on beef derived exclusively from foreign cattle. (<i>Update: USDA issued a</i>
M-COOL labels.	final rule to correct this deceptive practice but it won't be enforced until January 1, 2026.)
M-COOL harms American	When M-COOL for beef was fully implemented (2013-2015), American cattle producers
cattle producers by adding	received historically high prices for their cattle and their profitability, as measured by
costs to the beef supply	returns per bred cow, were also at historical highs. In fact, USDA data show that returns
chain.	per bred cow dropped 83% since M-COOL's repeal for beef (from 2015-2020). Beef
	supply chain costs may consist of packers having to source more domestic cattle in
	response to an increased demand for U.S. born and raised beef, and producers may
	experience the added cost of increasing their herd to meet an increased demand.
All beef is the same	This is false as other countries do not have identical environmental, production and food
regardless of where the	safety standards as the United States. It is also irrelevant because a label stating "Born,
animal was born and	Raised and Harvested in the USA" distinguishes the product as one produced entirely
raised.	under the U.S.'s food safety system and by American farmers and ranchers.
M-COOL does not need to	No, they cannot. In the beef supply chain, cattle producers sell live cattle to the packers
be mandatory as producers	that subsequently transform those cattle to beef. Thus, it is the packer and not the
can voluntarily label their beef.	producer that can decide whether to label and, obviously, it is not in the packers' interest
	to inform consumers as to the true origins of their beef.
M-COOL disrupts the "integrated North	A handful of importers choose to purchase imported beef and cattle rather than American beef and cattle and they enjoy windfall profits by passing these cheaper, undifferentiated
American beef supply	imports off to unsuspecting consumers as if they were American grown. They also use
chain."	undifferentiated imports to fill any supply gaps, thus eliminating opportunities to rebuild
Cham.	the U.S. cattle industry and attract new entrants to the ranching sector. M-COOL will end
	the importers' exploitation of the lack of transparency in the market and allow the
	marketplace to determine the value of beef produced in each North American country:
	the U.S., Canada, and Mexico.
M-COOL cannot be	This is false. M-COOL was effectively implemented from early 2013 through 2015
implemented without a	without a mandatory animal identification system. It relied on producer affidavits and a
national animal	presumption of domestic origin (animals not bearing a permanent foreign import
identification system.	marking/brand can be none other than exclusively born and raised in the United States).
M-COOL requires the	M-COOL does not require segregation but packers may choose to use the same protocols
segregation of imported	they employ for maintaining the identity of beef of differing quality grades, such as
cattle and imported beef	Prime, Choice, Select, etc, and for beef eligible for certain branded programs, such as

and such segregation is difficult and costly.	Certified Angus Beef, or natural or organic programs, all of which require product tracking throughout the beef manufacturing process after the animal is harvested. The beef packing industry is fully adept at identifying and tracking beef products based on a wide range of production-based criteria that are not observable in the product itself.
M-COOL is unconstitutional.	The Washington, D.C. Appellate Court's 2014 en banc decision in <i>AMI</i> , <i>NCBA</i> et al. v <i>USDA</i> et al. upheld both the constitutionality and lawfulness of Mandatory COOL for beef.