

Fact Sheet
S.949 Cattle Market Protection Bill
Prepared by R-CALF USA
Updated October 11, 2021

Background and History:

The fed cattle cash or spot market is the holy grail for the entire U.S. live cattle industry. Not only is this where price discovery occurs for all fed cattle ready for slaughter, but the price discovered in the spot market translates into prices for all cattle throughout the live cattle supply chain, regardless of age or weight.

Therefore, the health and viability of the entire U.S. cattle industry – generating over \$67 billion in annual cash receipts, making it the largest sector of American agriculture¹ – is absolutely dependent upon a robustly competitive and transparent spot market.

From the 80s through the 90s beef packing concentration and consolidation substantially reduced marketing outlets for fed cattle, both in terms of the number of packing companies and the number of packing plants. Today, only four major beef packing companies control approximately 85% of the fed cattle market and operate only about 24 packing plants.²

Prior to the early- to mid-2000s, packers purchased the majority of their fed cattle needs from cattle feeders in the spot market. Thus, the price discovery market comprised the majority of all cattle sold. The 2007 GIPSA Livestock and Meat Marketing Study found that the use of alternative marketing arrangements is associated with lower cash market prices,³ and that the average cattle price from the combination of spot market sales (both auction and direct trade sales) at \$132.32 per cwt is higher than the \$130.07 per cwt average cattle price generated from the combination of alternative marketing arrangements (forward contracts, marketing agreements, packer-owned, and other purchase methods).⁴

Since the mid-2000s, and due to the highly concentrated fed cattle markets, independent cattle feeders are increasingly subjected to market access risk, meaning risk of not being able to timely access the market when their cattle were ready for slaughter. Studies show this market access risk is the reason cattle feeders are willing to forego higher prices received in the cash market in exchange for guarantees of timely market access through some form of alternative marketing arrangement.⁵

¹ See USDA Economic Research Service, Cash Receipts by Commodity, 2011-2020F, Nominal (current dollars), available at <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17845>.

² See, e.g., Top 30 Beef Packers 2013, The Market Works (citing Cattle Buyer's Weekly as its source), available at <http://www.themarketworks.org/sites/default/files/uploads/charts/Top-30-Beef-Packers-2013.pdf>.

³ GIPSA Livestock and Meat Marketing Study, Volume 3, Fed Cattle and Beef Industries, at ES-2; 7-10, available at https://www.gipsa.usda.gov/psp/publication/livemarketstudy/LMMS_Vol_3.pdf.

⁴ See *Id.*, Table 5-1, at 5-8.

⁵ See Mingxia Zhang & Richard J. Sexton, Captive Supplies and the Cash Market Price: A

In 2005 about 52% of all fed cattle were still purchased in the spot market.⁶ But after 2005, the packers increasingly shifted larger and larger volumes of cattle out of the spot market and into their alternative marketing arrangements. By 2015, the volume in the spot market had fallen below 22% nationally, and below 3% in the Texas-Oklahoma-New Mexico region.⁷

Industry-wide concerns over the ultra-thin spot market have proven ineffective in restoring robustness to the spot market as evidenced by a meager 4% increase in the spot market volume from 2015 through 2018.⁸ Today, the volume of fed cattle sold in the spot market is even lower than it was in 2015. During the third quarter of 2021, it was below 18%.⁹

Although some economists disagree – particularly those who have long supported the considerable concentration of the U.S. fed cattle market - economic studies do show that cattle prices are negatively impacted when packers procure more of their cattle through alternative marketing arrangements than from the spot market. For example, in a 2011 study, economists Andrew Lee and Man-Keun Kim found that beginning with only about 20% of procurement outside the spot market (meaning when the spot market volume is as high as 80%) fed cattle prices are negatively impacted.¹⁰

This study reinforced work by Tian Xia and Richard Sexton,¹¹ which in 2009 was cited by economist Steven Koontz and described as a finding that if packers secure 50% or more of their needed cattle supplies through means other than the spot market, then the fed cattle market price can be depressed to the monopsony level and maximum market power exerted.¹²

The New Spot Market Protection Bill:

On March 24, 2021, Senators Charles Grassley (R-Iowa) and Jon Tester (D-Mont.), along with Senators Joni Ernst (R-Iowa), John Hoeven (R-N.D.), Tina Smith (D-Minn.), Mike Rounds (R-S.D.), Ron Wyden (D-Ore.), Steve Daines (R-Mont.) and Cory Booker (D-N.J.) reintroduced the Spot Market Protection Bill (S.949).

Spatial Markets Approach, 25 J. Agriculture and Resource econ., (2000), at 97, available at http://www.r-calfusa.com/industry_info/2008_JBS_merger/080409-Exhibit6_ZhangandSexton2000.pdf. *See also supra*, Note 2, at 2-36.

⁶ *See* Livestock Mandatory Reporting Purchase Type Breakdown by Region, USDA-Agricultural Marketing Service, Livestock, Poultry and Grain Market News, 2005-2018.

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ National Weekly Cattle And Beef Summary, USDA Livestock, Poultry & Grain Market News, Oct. 4, 2021, available at <https://usda.library.cornell.edu/concern/publications/qr46r082r?locale=en>.

¹⁰ *See* Captive Supply Impact on the U.S. Fed Cattle Price: An Application of Nonparametric Analysis, Andrew C. Lee and Man-Keun Kim, *Journal of Rural Development* 34(4): 103-115.

¹¹ The Competitive Implications of Top-of-the-Market and Related Contract-Pricing Clauses, Tian Xia and Richard J. Sexton, *Amer. J. Agr. Econ.* 86(1) (February 2004), at 124-138.

¹² Comments Regarding Agriculture and Antitrust Enforcement Issues in Our 21st Century Economy, Stephen R. Koontz (December 2009), at 9 (citing the work of Xia and Sexton).

The new Bill reverses the considerable volume erosion that has occurred in the spot market since the mid-2000s by requiring large packers with multiple plants to purchase at least 50% of their weekly cattle procurement needs for each of their plants from the spot market, and to harvest those cattle within 14 days of purchase.

The new Bill, therefore, restores the volume in the spot market to a minimum level – below which studies have found harm to cattle prices – necessary to preserve the competitive spot market for all cattle feeders and to protect the attendant price discovery function of the spot market for all of the U.S. cattle industry’s cattle producers, both feeders and non-feeders.

The new Bill S.949 is an amendment to the Livestock Mandatory Reporting Act of 1999, which is to be reauthorized by December 3, 2021.